Applicant(s)/Patent Under Application/Control No. Reexamination TANG ET AL. 09/894,912 Art Unit Page 1 of 2 Notice of R ferenc s Cit d Examiner 1647 Bridget E. Bunner U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENT	S	Classification
[*]		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name	
-	A	US-				
	В	US-	 			
1	С	US-	-			
	D	US-	-			
	E	US-				-
	F	US-				
T	G	US-	-			
	Н	US-				
	1	US-				
1	J	US-				
1	K	US-			1	
1	1	US-				
-	N	uS-		FOREIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS Name	Classification

М	US-	FOF	REIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS	Classification
	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	
N		-			
0					
Р		 			
Q		+			
R		+			
s		-			
T			NON-PATENT DOC	UMENTS blisher Edition or Volume, Pertinent F	Pages)

_						
	т	NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS Regiment Pages)				
	The Edition or Volume, Pennient agos,					
		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) Skolnick et al. From genes to protein structure and function: novel applications of computational approaches in the genomic Transle in Biotech 18(1): 34-39, 2000.				
*		motes applications of computational approaches in the g				
		to protoin structure and function: novel applications				
1	1 1	Skolnick et al. From genes to protein automatic				
1	lυl					
1	1	era. Trefida il 2000				
	1	45 70% hurdle. Genome Res 10: 396-400, 2000.				
1	1	Bork, A. Powers and pitfalls in sequence analysis: the 70% hurdle. Genome Res 10: 398-400, 2000.				
1	l v	Bork, A. Powers and pidalio in the state of				
- 1	1	2 4ico 14(6): 248-250, 1998.				
		Doerks et al. Protein annotation: detective work for function prediction. Trends in Genetics 14(6): 248-250, 1998.				
1	1	Protein apporation; detective work for function production				
- 1	l w	Doerks et al. Protein amount 15: 1222-1223,				
- 1	1	"The devil is in the details". Nature Blotech 13. 1222				
		f concerns sequence annotation or "The devicts in the				
	1	Doerks et al. Protein annotation, detective annotation or "The devil is in the details". Nature Biotech 15: 1222-1223, Smith et al. The challenges of genome sequence annotation or "The devil is in the details".				
1	Ιx	3007				
	1 ~	1997. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Notice of R ferences Cited

Application/Control No. 09/894,912

Bridget E. Bunner

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TANG ET AL.

Examiner

Silvoz | Art U

Art Unit
Page 2 of 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-			
	В	US-			
	O	US-			
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	_	US-			
	J	US-			
	κ	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Brenner, S.E. Errors in genome function. Trends in Genetics 15(4): 132-133, 1999.
	٧	Bork et al. Go hunting in sequence databases but watch out for the traps. Trends in Genetics. 12(10): 425-427, 1996.
	w	Wells. J.A. Additivity of mutational effects in proteins. Biochemistry 29 (37): 8509-8517, 1990.
	х	Ngo et al. Computational complexity, protein structure prediction, and the Levinthal paradox. The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495, 1994.

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.